

# Literatur und Links zum Thema Implantat-Ethik

## Grundlagen der Implantat-Ethik

Beauchamp, T. L. (2007): The 'Four Principles' Approach to Health Care Ethics. *Principles of health care ethics*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.

Campbell, C. S.; Clark, L. A.; Loy, D.; Keenan, J. F.; Matthews, K.; Winograd, T.; Zoloth, L. (2007): The Bodily Incorporation of Mechanical Devices: Ethical and Religious Issues (Part 1 & 2). *Cambridge quarterly of healthcare ethics: CQ: the international journal of healthcare ethics committees* 16 (02 & 03). DOI: 10.1017/S0963180107070259 & DOI: 10.1017/S0963180107070302.

Hansson, S. O. (2005): Implant ethics. *J Med Ethics* 31 (9): 519–525. DOI: 10.1136/jme.2004.009803.

Park, E. (2014): Ethical issues in cyborg technology: Diversity and inclusion. *Nanoethics* 8 (3): 303–306.

van der Wilt, G. J.; Reuzel, R.; Banta, H. D. (2000): The ethics of assessing health technologies. *Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics* 21 (1): 103–115.

Woopen, C. & Mertz, M. (2014): Ethik in der Technikfolgenabschätzung: Vier unverzichtbare Funktionen. *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte* 6-7: 40-46.

Woopen, C. (2019): Ethics in and for BioPolitics. In: Patrão Neves MDC (Hg.). *(Bio)Ethics, Science and Society: Challenges for BioPolitics*. Lisbon: Glaciar: 195-203.

Woopen, C. (2016): Selbstbestimmung und Lebensqualität aus ethischer Perspektive. *Pro Alter* 48: 47-49.

## Instrumente zur Lösung ethischer Konflikte

Gordijn, B. (2000): Ethische Diskussionen im Team, Nimweger Modell der multidisziplinären ethischen Fallbesprechung. *Die Schwester Der Pfleger* 2 (39): 114–117.

Ruppert, S. (2013): Entscheidungsfindungsmodelle in der Ethik. In Kemetmüller, E. & Fürstler, G. (Hg.). *Berufsethik, Berufsgeschichte und Berufskunde für Pflegeberufe*. Wien: Facultas Verlag: 95–108.

## Links

RESPONSE – Partnerschaft für Innovation in der Implantattechnologie: <https://www.response.uni-rostock.de/>

SWR2 WISSEN I Die Aufrüstung des Körpers – Mensch, Maschine und Moral I DIRK ASENDORPF: <https://www.swr.de/swr2/wissen/die-aufreueung-des-koerpers-mensch-maschine-und-moral-swr2-wissen-2020-07-09-100.html>

## Literatur zu verschiedenen Implantat-Arten (mit Fokus auf Patient:innen-Sicht)

Jünger, S.; Harzheim, L.; Lorke, M.; Woopen, C. (2021): Ethische Aspekte in der Forschung und Entwicklung von sowie der Versorgung mit Implantaten. In: Löschner, U.; Siegosch, F.; Fleßa, S. (Hg.). *Strategien der Implantatentwicklung mit hohem Innovationspotenzial*. Wiesbaden: Springer Gabler. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-658-33474-1\_9

## Cochlea-Implantate

Beattie, R. G.; Ritter-Brinton, K.; Snart, F. (2000): A mother and son cochlear implant case study: making the decision twice. *Adv Otorhinolaryngol* 57: 141–144. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true &db=cmedm&AN=11892129&site=ehost-live>.

Chapman, M. & Dammeyer, J. (2017): The Relationship Between Cochlear Implants and Deaf Identity. *American annals of the deaf* 162 (4): 319–332. DOI: 10.1353/aad.2017.0030.

Dammeyer, J.; Chapman, M.; Marschark, M. (2018): Experience of Hearing Loss, Communication, Social Participation, and Psychological Well-Being Among Adolescents With Cochlear Implants. *American annals of the deaf* 163 (4): 424–439. DOI: 10.1353/aad.2018.0027.

Dillon, B. & Pryce, H. (2020): What makes someone choose cochlear implantation? An exploration of factors that inform patient decision making. *International journal of audiology* 59 (1): 24–32. DOI: 10.1080/14992027.2019.1660917.

Finlay, L. & Molano-Fisher, P. (2008): 'Transforming' self and world: a phenomenological study of a changing lifeworld following a cochlear implant. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy* 11 (3).

Kobosko, J.; Jedrzejczak, W. W.; Pilka, E.; Pankowska, A.; Skarzynski, H. (2015): Satisfaction With Cochlear Implants in Postlingually Deaf Adults and Its Nonaudiological Predictors: Psychological Distress, Coping Strategies, and Self-Esteem. *Ear and hearing* 36 (5): 605–618. DOI: 10.1097/AUD.000000000000179.

Mäki-Torkko, E. M.; Vestergren, S.; Harder, H.; Lyxell, B. (2015): From isolation and dependence to autonomy - expectations before and experiences after cochlear implantation in adult cochlear implant users and their significant others. *Disability and rehabilitation* 37 (6): 541–547. DOI: 10.3109/09638288.2014.935490.

Müller, S. & Zaracko, A. (2010): Haben gehörlose Kleinkinder ein Recht auf ein Cochleaimplantat? *Nervenheilkunde* 29 (4): 244–248.

Sach, T. H. & Whynes, D. K. (2005): Paediatric cochlear implantation: the views of parents. *Int J Audiol* 44 (7): 400–407. DOI: 10.1080/14992020500146500.

Vieira, S. d. S.; Dupas, G.; Chiari, B. M. (2018): Cochlear implant: the family's perspective. *Cochlear implants international* 19 (4): 216–224. DOI: 10.1080/14670100.2018.1426406.

### Glaukom-Implantate

Cross, V.; Shah, P.; Glynn, M.; Chidrawar, S. (2009): ReGAE 5: Can we improve the surgical journey for African-Caribbean patients undergoing glaucoma filtration surgery? Some preliminary findings. *Clinical ophthalmology (Auckland, N.Z.)* 3: 1–12.

Foo, R. C. M.; Lamoureux, E. L.; Wong, R. C. K.; Ho, S.; Chiang, P. P. C.; Rees, G.; et al. (2012): Acceptance, Attitudes, and Beliefs of Singaporean Chinese Toward an Ocular Implant for Glaucoma Drug Delivery. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* 53 (13): 8240–8245. DOI: 10.1167/iovs.12-10393.

Ontario Health (Quality): Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgery: A Budget Impact Analysis and Evaluation of Patients' Experiences, Preferences, and Values (Ont, 9). <https://www.hqontario.ca/Evidence-to-Improve-Care/Health-Technology-Assessment/Journal-Ontario-Health-Technology-Assessment-Series>.

### Kardiovaskuläre Implantate

Astin, F.; Horrocks, J.; McLenachan, J.; Blackman, D. J.; Stephenson, J.; Closs, S. J. (2017): The impact of transcatheter aortic valve implantation on quality of life: A mixed methods study. *Heart & lung: the journal of critical care* 46 (6): 432–438. DOI: 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2017.08.005.

Baumbusch, J.; Lauck, S. B.; Achtem, L.; O'Shea, T.; Wu, S.; Banner, D. (2018): Understanding experiences of undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation: one-year follow-up. *European journal of cardiovascular nursing: journal of the Working Group on Cardiovascular Nursing of the European Society of Cardiology* 17 (3): 280–288. DOI: 10.1177/1474515117738991.

Frankel, N. Z. (2014): Surgical aortic valve replacement vs transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a consumer's perspective regarding data education and transparency of hospitals. *JAMA internal medicine* 174 (4): 495–496. DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.12829.

Korteland, N. M.; Bras, F. J.; van Hout, F. M. A.; Kluin, J.; Klautz, R. J. M.; Bogers, A. J. J. C.; Takkenberg, J. J. M. (2015): Prosthetic aortic valve selection: current patient experience, preferences and knowledge. *Open Heart* 2 (1): e000237. DOI: 10.1136/openhrt-2015-000237.

Lauck, S. B.; Baumbusch, J.; Achtem, L.; Forman, J. M.; Carroll, S. L.; Cheung, A.; et al. (2016): Factors influencing the decision of older adults to be assessed for transcatheter aortic valve implantation: An exploratory study. *European journal of cardiovascular nursing: journal of the Working Group on Cardiovascular Nursing of the European Society of Cardiology* 15 (7): 486–494. DOI: 10.1177/1474515115612927.

Mehrpoya, A.; Jalali, R.; Jalali, A.; Namdari, M. (2018): Patient experiences of living with coronary stent. *Journal of Vascular Nursing* 36 (4): 181–185. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=tnh&AN=133068247&site=ehost-live>.

Olsson, K.; Naslund, U.; Nilsson, J.; Hornsten, A. (2018): Patients' experiences of the transcatheter aortic valve implantation trajectory: A grounded theory study. *Nursing open* 5 (2): 149–157. DOI: 10.1002/nop2.124.

Schmied, W.; Schäfers, H. J.; Köllner, V. (2015): Lebensqualität oder Lebenserwartung? Kriterien und Informationsquellen für die Entscheidungsfindung bei Patienten im Vorfeld von Aortenklappenoperationen. *PsychosomMed Psychother* (61): 224–237.

Skaar, E.; Ranhoff, A. H.; Nordrehaug, J. E.; Forman, D. E.; Schaufel, M. A. (2017): Conditions for autonomous choice: a qualitative study of older adults' experience of decision-making in TAVR. *Journal of Geriatric Cardiology* 14 (1): 42–48. DOI: 10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2017.01.007.